

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Association of Weight Indicators, Dietary Habits, and Physical Activity With Common Benign Breast Diseases

An Observational Case-Control Study

**Hadith Rastad, PhD; Azin Saberi, MD;
Mabsa Ghanaei, MSc; Sadaf Alipour, MD**

Benign breast diseases (BBDs) are 10 times more common than breast cancer, and fibroadenoma (FA) and fibrocystic disease (FCD) are the most frequent. The association of BBD with physical activity (PA), weight indicators, and diet was investigated. Eligible women were entered in the FA, non-FA, FCD, and non-FCD groups. A PA and a food questionnaire were completed and anthropometric measures were taken and 779 women were studied. There was no significant difference regarding weight indicators and PA between the groups. Contrary to the general assumption, chocolate, tea, and coffee do not increase BBD, while chicken may elevate the risk. **Key words:** BMI, body composition, fibroadenoma, fibrocystic, food, IPAQ, lifestyle

Author Affiliations: Cardiovascular Research Center (Dr Rastad) and Clinical Research Development Center of Kamali Hospital (Dr Rastad), Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran; Department of Surgery, Arash Women's Hospital, Tebran University of Medical Sciences, Tebran, Iran (Drs Saberi and Alipour); Breast Disease Research Center, Tebran University of Medical Sciences, Tebran, Iran (Dr Alipour); and Department of Nutrition, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tebran, Iran (Ms Ghanaei).

The authors acknowledge Dr Mabboubbeh Abedi and Mrs Matina Noori for her assistance in gathering data, and Mrs Arezoo Maleki-Hajiagha for her support in designing the study.

The authors' contributions are as follows: H. R., A. S., and S. A. contributed to the study conception and design. A. S. and S. A. contributed to material preparation and data collection. Data analysis was performed by H. R. and M. G. The first draft of the manuscript was written by S. A. and all authors commented on the draft. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

All methods were performed in accordance with the guidelines and regulations of the Ethics Committee of Tebran University of Medical Sciences, Tebran, Iran, and in accordance with the Declara-

BENIGN BREAST DISEASES (BBDs) constitute the most common breast condition in women. Although they are around 10 times more common than breast cancer, they have been studied much less frequently.¹ Fibroadenoma (FA) and fibrocystic disease (FCD) of the breast are the most frequent BBDs; the former is seen in 25% and the latter in up

tion of Helsinki. The Ethics Committee of Tebran University of Medical Sciences approved the 2 studies (codes: IR.TUMS.VCR.1397.357 and IR.TUMS.VCR.REC.1397.358). An informed consent was signed by all participating women.

The authors have disclosed that they have no significant relationships with, or financial interest in, any commercial companies pertaining to this article.

Correspondence: Sadaf Alipour, MD, Breast Disease Research Center, Tebran University of Medical Sciences, Sadaf Bldg, Cancer Institute, Kesbavarz Blvd, Tebran, Iran (sadafalipour@yahoo.com).

DOI: 10.1097/TIN.0000000000000342

to 50% of women.² Around 30% of the cases of BBD need treatment at some point.¹ The association of BBD and breast malignancies has been widely disputed, and recent studies have confirmed that BBD is a risk factor for breast cancer, although the risk is not very high and varies depending on the type of BBD.^{3–5}

In addition to the slightly increased risk for malignancy, BBD has several negative impacts: patient anxiety in fear of misdiagnosis or future malignant transformation,^{4,6} troublesome symptoms such as breast pain and tender nodules, occasional problematic clinical differentiation from malignant and premalignant lesions, and concealing malignant masses due to nodularity and breast density.⁷

Various local and systemic factors affect breast tissue, especially hormonal factors such as estrogen. Conditions that modify estrogen levels can affect BBD and among them, reproductive factors play a major role.⁷ However, increased body fat mass also has been recognized as a risk factor, because of the role of adipose tissue in estrogen production.⁸ Contrary to this expectation, a higher body mass index (BMI) has been shown to decrease the risk of BBDs.⁹ Actually, intra-abdominal fat or visceral fat is the major adipose component that is related to the risk of noncommunicable diseases, including breast cancer.¹⁰

Lifestyle factors might influence the development of BBD in women. Physical activity (PA) or inactivity can be considered as one of these issues; it could therefore be a modifiable factor affecting the BBD incidence. Higher PA in childhood and adolescence has been shown to decrease the probability of BBD in adulthood.¹¹ Yet, no link has been demonstrated until now about the association of PA in adulthood and BBD.⁵ More people live sedentary lives because many work and leisure activities are carried out virtually.¹² Therefore, the relationship between PA effect on breast disorders, including BBD, increases in importance. Dietary habits are also important components of lifestyle

and can be related to BBD risk in women. Traditionally, some dietary recommendations are provided to women with BBD, based on some experimental evidence,¹³ but are mostly related to the historical experience about the effect of some dietary nutrients on mastalgia.^{14–16} However, it is still unknown whether dietary habits can influence BBD. The aim of this study was to investigate the association of FA and FCD, as the most common BBDs, with PA and some dietary habits.

METHODS

This study was carried out in Arash Women's Hospital of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran, Iran, among women attending the breast clinic from October 2018 to August 2020 according to protocol numbers 97-01-218-37716- 97-01-218-37719. All methods were performed in accordance with the guidelines and regulations of the Ethics Committee of TUMS and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was signed by all participants.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria for the FA group included women between 18 and 50 years of age with 1 or several unilateral or bilateral FAs according to histology and/or ultrasonography, and history of inclusion criteria for the non-FA group included women between 18 and 50 years of age without any FAs on breast ultrasound. Inclusion criteria for the FCD group included women between 18 and 50 years of age diagnosed with FCD based on history, physical examination, and ultrasonography. Inclusion criteria for the non-FCD group included women between 18 and 50 years of age without any FCD in breast examination and ultrasonography. Exclusion criteria for all the groups consisted of women who were pregnant or lactating, vegetarians, those with a BMI of more than 29.9 kg/m², and/or a history of breast

cancer, diabetes mellitus, thyroid dysfunction, and/or metabolic syndrome.

Tests and measurements

We have previously published 2 studies on FA (approved by the Institutional Research Board code: 97-01-218-37716- and the Ethics Committee ID: IR.TUMS.VCR.1397.357- of TUMS) and on FCD (approved by the Institutional Research Board code: 97-01-218-37719- and the Ethics Committee ID: IR.TUMS.VCR.REC.1397.358- of TUMS).^{17,18} During those studies, an International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) was completed for all participants. This questionnaire has previously been translated to Farsi and validated in Iran.¹⁹ Based on standard Food Frequency Questionnaires (FFQs), we designed a short questionnaire including items that have been introduced as probably harmful or protective for BBD, including (in alphabetical order) banana, cabbages, celery, cheese, chicken, chocolate, cocoa, coffee, cola, fish, fresh vegetables, nuts, red meat, sausages, soy, spices, and tea. Spices that are more common in Iranian food were considered, including cinnamon, cumin, curry powder, ginger, garlic powder, paprika, pepper, thyme, and turmeric. These questionnaires were also completed by women without FCD as controls of FCD patients (non-FCD group) and without FA as controls of FA (non-FA group). Participants' interviews for completing the IPAQs and FFQs and measurements of anthropometric variables including height, weight, waist, and hip circumference were carried out by a trained research staff. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared and classified according to the World Health Organization classification of obesity for BMI of 30 kg/m² or more, overweight for BMI of 25 kg/m² or more but less than 30 kg/m², and normal for BMI less than 25 kg/m². Waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) was calculated as waist circumference divided by hip circumference and classified as normal for WHR less than 0.8 and high for WHR more than 0.8.^{20,21} The amount of participant PA was calculated and classified according to the

guidelines for data processing and analysis of the IPAQ.²² Therefore, 3 PA levels were considered including inactive, minimally active, and highly active classes.

Statistical methods

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 24.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York). Data in the study groups were summarized using descriptive statistics, mean $\pm$ SD, or median (interquartile range for continuous variables and frequency [percentage] for categorical variables). As appropriate, case and control groups were compared using 2-tailed *t* tests, Fisher exact tests, or χ^2 tests. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression models were fitted to assess the association of PA and dietary habits with BBD. Covariates with a *P* value of .2 or less were included in the multivariable model as a potential confounder. Results were presented as crude and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

RESULTS

A total of 779 women were entered in the study, including 216, 196, 152, and 215 participants in the FA and non-FA, FCD, and non-FCD groups, respectively. The anthropometric and reproductive characteristics of women in the 4 groups are presented in Table 1. The mean $\pm$ SD age of participants in the FCD group was lower than in those in the non-FCD group (43.2 ± 8.1 vs 46.9 ± 10.1 years of age, respectively; *P* = .002). There was a nonsignificant difference between these 2 groups regarding BMI and WHR. Also, the participants were younger in the FA than in the non-FA groups (40.1 ± 9.9 vs 46.9 ± 10.1 years of age, respectively; *P* < .001) and had a lower BMI (25.4 ± 4.4 vs 27.1 ± 4.5 kg/m², respectively; *P* = .020). The WHR was similar between the 2 groups. BBD groups compared with their relevant control groups had a lower frequency of irregular menstrual patterns and history of oral contraceptive use but a higher prevalence of family

Table 1. Characteristics of Participants by Study Group

Variable	FA (%)	Non-FA (%)	<i>P</i> ^a	FCD (%)	Non-FCD (%)	<i>P</i> ^a
Age, mean (SD)	40.1 (9.9)	46.9 (10.1)	<.001	43.2 (8.1)	46.9 (10.1)	.002
BMI (kg/m ²) mean (SD)	25.4 (4.4)	27.1 (4.5)	.020	26.6 (5.0)	27.1 (4.6)	.551
Waist-to-hip ratio >0.8, N (%)	79.4% (170)	68.8% (11)	.314	84.1% (127)	68.8% (11)	.123
Age of menarche, mean (SD)	13.3 (1.4)	13.2 (1.6)	.789	13.3 (1.3)	13.2 (1.6)	.782
Irregular menstrual pattern, N (%)	16.9% (33)	28.6% (18)	.044	15.9% (21)	28.6% (18)	.039
Gravidity, N (%)						
0	24.5% (53)	12.5% (11)	.006	12.5% (19)	12.5% (11)	.004
1-3	63.0% (136)	62.5% (55)		78.3% (119)	62.5% (55)	
>3	12.5% (27)	25.0% (22)		9.2% (14)	25.0% (22)	
History of abortion	22.2% (48)	26.1% (23)	.464	19.7% (30)	26.1% (23)	.249
Breastfeeding	72.2% (156)	81.8% (72)	.080	84.2% (128)	81.8% (72)	.632
History of infertility	9.3% (20)	8.0% (7)	.717	11.8% (18)	8.0% (7)	.342
History of OCP use	29.8% (64)	47.7% (42)	.003	25.0% (38)	47.7% (42)	<.001
History of HRT use	8.3% (18)	23.9% (21)	<.001	23.0% (35)	23.9% (21)	.883
FH of BBD	39.8% (86)	11.4% (10)	<.001	34.9% (53)	11.4% (10)	<.001

Abbreviations: BBD, benign breast disease; BMI, body mass index; FA, fibroadenoma; FCD, fibrocystic disease; FH, family history; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; OCP, oral contraceptive; SD, standard deviation.

^aBold values indicate significant ($P < .05$).

history of BBD. In addition, participants in the FA group reported a history of hormone replacement therapy less frequently than those in the non-FA group (all P values $<.05$). BBD case groups and their relevant control groups were similar regarding the age of menarche, history of abortion, breastfeeding, and infertility status.

The status of participant PA in each group is shown in Table 2. There was a nonstatistical difference between women in BBD case groups and relevant control groups regarding

PA levels ($P > .05$). The crude and adjusted ORs and 95% CI for the development of FA and FCD regarding level of PA are demonstrated in Table 3. Association between PA and each BBD was statistically nonsignificant in both crude and adjusted models.

The average weekly amount of intake of the studied foods for each group is presented in Table 4. The crude and adjusted ORs and 95% CI for the development of FA and FCD regarding the use of the investigated nutrients are shown in Table 5.

Table 2. Amount of Physical Activity by Study Group

Group	Physical Activity			<i>P</i>
	Inactive	Minimally Active	High Active	
FCD	38.2% (58)	55.9% (85)	5.9% (9)	.291
Non-FCD	28.4% (25)	65.9% (58)	5.7% (5)	
FA	34.8% (72)	61.4% (127)	3.9% (8)	.489
Non-FA	28.4% (25)	65.9% (58)	5.7% (5)	

Abbreviations: FA, fibroadenoma; FCD, fibrocystic disease.

Table 3. Association Between Physical Activity and the Risk of Benign Breast Diseases: Logistic Regression Analysis

Variables	FA Group				FCD Group			
	Crude Model		Adjusted Model ^a		Crude Model		Adjusted Model ^b	
	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P
	Reference	...	References	...	Reference	...	References	...
Physical activity								
Minimally active	0.76 (0.44-1.32)	.330	0.74 (0.37-1.50)	.406	0.63 (0.35-1.12)	.118	0.58 (0.32-1.06)	.077
High active	0.56 (0.17-1.86)	.340	0.57 (0.10-3.08)	.512	0.78 (0.24-2.55)	.676	0.70 (0.21-2.36)	.561

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; FA, fibroadenoma; FCD, fibrocystic disease; OR, odds ratio.
^a Adjusted for age and body mass index.
^b Adjusted for age.

DISCUSSION

In this study, the association of weight indicators, PA, and dietary habits with BBD was evaluated. We detected no association with weight indicators and PA, but a significant association with selected foods including cabbage, celery, and chocolate for FA, and coffee, tea, banana, spices, and chicken for FCD was detected.

BBD is the most common breast problem occurring much more frequently than breast cancer^{5,23} and often requires surgical intervention.²⁴ It is sometimes accompanied by uncomfortable symptoms, such as breast pain, and can be a source of anxiety.²⁵ FA and FCD are the most common types of BBD^{2,26} and are, therefore, the focus of this study. The association of FA and FCD with sex hormone-dependent factors, including reproductive factors, is well known.^{5,26} Obesity or adiposity may also be a risk factor for BBD because of the consequent increase in peripheral estrogen synthesis.⁸ The amount of body fat is not captured in BMI, and body composition can be measured but can require expensive equipment. However, WHR has a high correlation with visceral fat level.¹⁰ Therefore, WHR as a measure of body fat composition was used.

Chen et al²⁷ studied the association of body fat and FCD and found that a higher percentage of body fat and lower lean body mass may increase the frequency of the FCD. A similar finding was demonstrated by Pena et al²⁸ who showed a higher percentage of body fat in women with BBD. Conversely, in our study, no association was found between either BMI or WHR and FA or FCD. Ethnic differences between Brazilian,²⁸ Taiwanese,²⁷ and Iranian women might be the reason for these varied results. There may be other reasons for this dissimilarity. Both studies used validated instruments to measure body fat (Tanita Body Fat Monitor Scale and Biospace). However, Pena et al²⁸ included BBD cases diagnosed by a histologic examination, although women with BBD are typically diagnosed clinically or via breast imaging; therefore, their

Table 4. Dietary Habits by Study Group

	Units/Wk	FCD	Non-FCD	<i>P</i> ^a	FA	Non-FA	<i>P</i> ^a
Banana	0	40.1% (61)	33.0% (29)	.088	40.1% (83)	33.0% (29)	.262
	1	34.9% (53)	28.4% (25)		24.6% (51)	28.4% (25)	
	2	13.2% (20)	14.8% (13)		19.3% (40)	14.8% (13)	
	≥3	11.8% (18)	23.9% (21)		15.9% (33)	23.9% (21)	
Cabbages	0	45.4% (69)	48.9% (43)	.677	67.8% (141)	48.9% (43)	.022
	1	27.0% (41)	21.6% (19)		14.4% (30)	21.6% (19)	
	2	11.2% (17)	9.1% (8)		6.3% (13)	9.1% (8)	
	≥3	16.4% (25)	20.5% (18)		11.5% (24)	20.5% (18)	
Celery	0	68.4% (104)	71.6% (63)	.347	90.8% (188)	71.6% (63)	<.001
	1	27.0% (41)	20.5% (18)		8.2% (17)	20.5% (18)	
	≥2	4.6% (7)	8.0% (7)		1.0% (2)	8.0% (7)	
Cheese	0	9.9% (15)	2.3% (2)	.083	12.0% (25)	2.3% (2)	.013
	≤7	32.2% (49)	33.0% (29)		36.5% (76)	33.0% (29)	
	7	57.9 (88)	64.8% (57)		51.4% (107)	64.8% (57)	
Chocolate	0	52.3% (79)	46.6% (41)	.258	65.2% (135)	46.6% (41)	.016
	1	15.9% (24)	14.8% (13)		12.6% (26)	14.8% (13)	
	2	9.3% (14)	18.2% (16)		9.2% (19)	18.2% (16)	
	≥3	22.5% (34)	20.5% (18)		13.0% (27)	20.5% (18)	
Chicken	0	3.9% (6)	4.5% (4)	.761	3.4% (7)	4.5% (4)	.002
	1	13.2% (20)	16.2% (16)		8.7% (18)	18.2% (16)	
	2	19.7% (30)	15.9% (14)		24.5% (51)	15.9% (14)	
	3	32.9% (50)	18.2% (16)		34.6% (72)	18.2% (16)	
	≥4	30.3% (46)	43.2% (38)		28.8% (60)	43.2% (38)	
	0	63.6% (96)	69.3% (61)		72.6% (151)	69.3% (61)	
Cocoa	1	18.5% (28)	11.4% (10)	.342	10.1% (21)	11.4% (10)	.849
	≥2	17.9% (27)	19.3% (17)		17.3% (36)	19.3% (17)	
	0	80.3% (122)	78.4% (69)		86.6% (187)	78.4% (69)	
Coffee	≥1	19.7% (30)	21.6% (19)	.731	13.4% (29)	21.6% (19)	.077
Cola	0	66.4% (101)	69.3% (61)	.667	68.8% (143)	69.3% (61)	.445
	1	20.4% (31)	16.2% (16)		13.9% (29) ^b	18.2% (16)	
	2	3.3% (5)	5.7% (5)		4.8% (10)	5.7% (5)	
	≥3	9.9% (15)	6.8% (6)		12.5% (26)	6.8% (6)	
Fish	0	80.3% (122)	90.9% (80)	.039	87.0% (180)	90.9% (80)	.371
	1	17.1% (26)	5.7% (5)		10.6% (22)	5.7% (5)	
	≥2	2.6% (4)	3.4% (3)		2.4% (5)	3.4% (3)	
Fresh vegetables	0	3.9% (6)	6.8% (6)	.520	4.3% (9)	6.8% (6)	.540
	1	55.9% (85)	58.0% (51)		63.5% (132)	58.0% (51)	
	≥2	40.1% (61)	35.2% (31)		32.2% (67)	35.2% (31)	
	0	54.3% (82)	58.0% (51)		68.8% (143)	58.0% (51)	
Nuts	1	18.5% (28)	15.9% (14)	.886	14.4% (30)	15.9% (14)	.236
	2	6.6% (10)	8.0% (7)		6.3% (13)	8.0% (7)	
	≥3	20.5% (31)	18.2% (16)		10.6% (22)	18.2% (16)	
	0	80.3% (122)	78.4% (69)		86.6% (187)	78.4% (69)	
Tea	1	19.7% (30)	21.6% (19)	.731	13.4% (29)	21.6% (19)	.077
(continues)							

Table 4. Dietary Habits by Study Group (Continued)

	Units/Wk	FCD	Non-FCD	P ^a	FA	Non-FA	P ^a
Red meat	0	3.9% (6)	5.7% (5)	.392	7.7% (16)	5.7% (5)	.161
	1	17.1% (26)	11.5% (10)		16.3% (34)	11.5% (10)	
	2	13.8% (21)	18.4% (16)		22.1% (46)	18.4% (16)	
	3	32.9% (50)	25.3% (22)		29.3% (61)	25.3% (22)	
	≥4	32.2% (49)	39.1% (34)		24.5% (51)	39.1% (34)	
Sausages	0	80.3% (122)	89.8% (79)	.054	87.0% (180)	89.8% (79)	.499
	1	19.7% (30)	10.2% (9)		13.0% (27)	10.2% (9)	
Soy	0	60.9% (92)	72.7% (64)	.181	69.7% (145)	72.7% (64)	.828
	1	29.1% (44)	20.5% (18)		21.6% (45)	20.5% (18)	
	≥2	9.9% (15)	6.8% (6)		8.7% (18)	6.8% (6)	
Spices	<7	98.7% (150)	54.5% (48)	<.001	57.7% (120)	54.5% (48)	.617
	≥7	1.3% (2)	45.5% (40)		42.3% (88)	45.5% (40)	

Abbreviations: FA, fibroadenoma; FCD, fibrocystic disease.

^aBold values indicate significant ($P < .05$).

^bIncludes ≥1.

subjects may be representative of those cases of BBD that cause a suspicious finding or an image that leads to biopsy or surgery. In the study by Chen et al,²⁷ women with diabetes, liver disease, and metabolic syndrome were also included, while women with these conditions were excluded in our study. Therefore, the results of our research might account for a better demonstration of the relationship between BBD and body fat.¹⁰

PA has been mentioned as a modifiable factor affecting endogenous estrogen levels because of its effect on sex hormone synthesis and bioavailability.²⁹ Nevertheless, few studies that have investigated the relationship between PA and BBD have yielded controversial results.^{11,29} In the Growing Up Today Study,¹¹ no association was found between PA in adolescents and their future risk of BBD, except for a lowered risk in those who walked more. Accordingly, the Nurses' Health Study II cohort²⁹ showed a reverse association between amount of walking in young age and BBD in adulthood; however, heavy exercise was found to have a probable protective effect for some BBD. Conversely, Nelson et al³⁰ showed that strenuous exercise earlier in life increased the rate of FA, although moderate exercise lowered the risk. These studies were about PA in young age, although da Conceição⁵ found no association between PA

during adulthood and BBD. Our results were consistent with the latter in terms of life period of PA and lack of any association with BBD.

The relationship of different foods and BBD has been postulated in various studies. Hafiz et al¹⁶ showed the association of mastalgia in BBD with consumption of methylxanthines including coffee, tea, and chocolate, and Sochacka-Tatara et al³¹ demonstrated the relationship with fried dishes. Boeke et al³² disclosed a positive association with alcohol and a negative association between nuts and BBD. Aghababayan et al³³ approached the issue of nutrients and BBD from another perspective. In one study,³³ they calculated the dietary inflammatory index in the diets of patients and showed a probable direct association with using higher amounts of foods with inflammatory potential. In a second study,³⁴ they considered the dietary phytochemical index of the diets and showed an inverse association with BBD. Tiznobeyk et al³⁵ classified patient diets as healthy (including fruits and vegetables, nuts, low-fat dairy, fish, and chicken among others) and unhealthy (including red meats and other foods) and showed that a healthy diet could lower BBD to some extent, although the unhealthy one was not a specific risk factor for BBD.

Table 5. Association Between Food Intake and the Risk of Each BBD: Logistic Regression Analysis

Variables	FA Group			FCD Group					
	Crude Model		Adjusted Model	Crude Model		Adjusted Model			
	OR (95% CI)	P ^a	OR (95% CI)	P ^a	OR (95% CI)	P ^a			
Banana	0	1.82 (0.91-3.64)	.089	1.34 (0.55-3.25)	.521	2.45 (1.14-5.30)	.022	2.41 (1.10-5.27)	.028
	1	1.30 (0.63-2.68)	.482	1.20 (0.47-3.07)	.708	2.47 (1.12-5.44)	.024	2.50 (1.11-5.63)	.027
	2	1.96 (0.85-4.50)	.113	1.84 (0.59-5.70)	.293	1.79 (0.70-4.60)	.223	1.63 (0.62-4.27)	.324
Cabbages	≥3	References	...	References	...	Reference	...	References	...
	0	2.46 (1.22-4.95)	.012	2.46 (1.03-5.91)	.043	1.15 (0.56-2.36)	.692	1.20 (0.57-2.51)	.630
	1	1.18 (0.51-2.74)	.693	2.23 (0.70-7.07)	.172	1.55 (0.69-3.51)	.289	1.85 (0.79-4.30)	.153
	2	1.22 (0.42-3.56)	.718	0.79 (0.24-2.65)	.709	1.53 (0.54-4.31)	.421	1.53 (0.53-4.46)	.432
	≥3	References	...	References	...	Reference	...	References	...
Celery	0	10.44 (2.11-51.58)	.004	10.00 (1.65-60.55)	.012	1.65 (0.55-4.93)	.369	1.99 (0.62-6.33)	.245
	1	3.31 (0.60-18.19)	.169	3.70 (0.52-26.21)	.190	2.28 (0.70-7.45)	.173	2.66 (0.76-9.27)	.125
	≥2	Reference	...	References	...	Reference	...	References	...
Cheese	0	6.66 (1.52-29.13)	.012	4.68 (0.59-36.81)	.143	4.86 (1.07-22.05)	.041	3.86 (0.83-17.98)	.085
	≤7	1.40 (0.82-2.38)	.222	0.82 (0.43-1.60)	.569	1.09 (0.62-1.93)	.755	1.00 (0.55-1.80)	.997
	7	Reference	...	References	...	Reference	...	References	...
Chocolate	0	2.19 (1.10-4.38)	.026	2.49 (1.03-6.05)	.043	1.02 (0.51-2.02)	.955	0.93 (0.45-1.90)	.841
	1	1.33 (0.54-3.26)	.528	1.38 (0.44-4.27)	.579	0.97 (0.40-2.37)	.960	0.78 (0.31-1.96)	.599
	2	0.79 (0.32-1.93)	.608	0.63 (0.21-1.84)	.398	0.46 (0.18-1.16)	.100	0.40 (0.15-1.03)	.059
≥3	Reference	...	References	...	Reference	...	References	...	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; FA, fibroadenoma; FCD, fibrocystic disease; OR, odds ratio.
^a Bold values indicate significant ($P < .05$).

In our study, in the crude model for FA, not consuming cabbage (OR = 2.46; 95% CI, 1.22-4.95), celery (OR = 10.44; 95% CI, 2.11-51.58), cheese (OR = 6.66; 95% CI, 1.52-29.13), and chocolate (OR = 2.19; 95% CI, 1.10-4.38) but consuming chicken (OR = 2.85; 95% CI, 1.45-5.61) increased the risk of FA. In the adjusted model, the association of cabbage, celery, and chocolate intake remained statistically significant. In addition, there was a significant association between not consuming coffee and tea (OR = 2.19; 95% CI, 1.01-4.76) and a higher risk of FA in the adjusted model. In the crude model for FCD, not consuming banana, cheese, and spices (OR = 2.45; 95% CI, 1.14-5.30; OR = 4.9; 95% CI, 1.07-22.05; OR = 62.5; 95% CI, 14.56-268.28, respectively) significantly increased the risk of FCD. Conversely, chicken intake significantly increased the risk (OR = 2.58; 95% CI, 1.27-5.24). All associations remained significant in the adjusted model except for cheese intake. In summary, diet was shown to impact the risk of BBD, and in contrary to previous assumption, cabbage, celery, and chocolate, tea, and coffee had an inverse association with FA; banana and spices had an inverse relationship with FCD. While use of red meat was not associated with BBD, a higher use of chicken was associated with an increased risk of both FA and FCD.

Our study had limitations. We did not assess the relationship between symptoms such as breast pain and breast edema with food intake. In addition, we did not investigate whether modifying the dietary habits could affect the clinical picture of BBDs.

CONCLUSION AND CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

Our study showed that BBD had no association with BMI and WHR, and PA also had no impact on FA and FCD. Dietary habits may affect BBD risk. Contrary to the general assumption, chocolate, tea, and coffee consumption were not associated with BBD, while higher use of chicken elevated the risk. This study has several implications in clinical practice. Dietary recommendations are often provided to women as the first step of BBD management, and patients may be asked to avoid methylxanthines or caffeine including tea, coffee, and chocolate. However, since our results did not identify these components as risk factors for BBDs, these recommendations should be carefully considered. We have also shown that the association of different types of meat and BBDs warrant further investigation. Finally, PA may not be the most appropriate management strategy for BBDs. Further study on the relationship among diet, PA, and BBD is needed.

REFERENCES

1. Sangma MB, Panda K, Dasiah S. A clinico-pathological study on benign breast diseases. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2013;7(3):503-506.
2. Guray M, Sahin AA. Benign breast diseases: classification, diagnosis, and management. *Oncologist*. 2006; 11(5):435-449.
3. Salamat F, Niakan B, Keshtkar A, Rafiei E, Zendehelel M. Subtypes of benign breast disease as a risk factor of breast cancer: a systematic review and meta analyses. *Iran J Med Sci*. 2018;43(4):355-364.
4. Li J, Humphreys K, Ho PJ, et al. Family history, reproductive, and lifestyle risk factors for fibroadenoma and breast cancer. *JNCI Cancer Spectr*. 2018;2(3): pky051.
5. da Conceição LL, Pessoa MC, de Moura ED, de Freitas RN, Moreira JD, Peluzio MD. Benign breast disease and associated factors in women attending in a public hospital. *Int J New Technol Res*. 2016;2(6): 14-18.
6. Coriaty Nelson Z, Ray RM, Gao DL, Thomas DB. Risk factors for fibroadenoma in a cohort of female textile workers in Shanghai, China. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2002; 156(7):599-605.
7. Taib NA, Rahmat K. Benign disorders of the breast in pregnancy and lactation. In: *Diseases of the Breast During Pregnancy and Lactation*. 1st ed. Switzerland: Springer; 2020:43-51.

8. Nelson LR, Bulun SE. Estrogen production and action. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2001;45(3 suppl):S116-S124.
9. Johansson A, Christakou AE, Iftimi A, et al. Characterization of benign breast diseases and association with age, hormonal factors, and family history of breast cancer among women in Sweden. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(6):e2114716.
10. Gadekar T, Dudeja P, Basu I, Vashisht S, Mukherji S. Correlation of visceral body fat with waist-hip ratio, waist circumference and body mass index in healthy adults: a cross sectional study. *Med J Armed Forces India*. 2020;76(1):41-46.
11. Berkey CS, Tamimi RM, Willett WC, Rosner B, Frazier AL, Colditz GA. Adolescent physical activity and inactivity: a prospective study of risk of benign breast disease in young women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2014;146(3):611-618.
12. Akindutire IO, Olanipekun JA. Sedentary life-style as inhibition to good quality of life and longevity. *J Edu Pract*. 2017;8(13):39-43.
13. Wu C, Ray RM, Lin MG, et al. A case-control study of risk factors for fibrocystic breast conditions: Shanghai Nutrition and Breast Disease Study, China, 1995-2000. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004;160(10):945-960.
14. Murshid KR. A review of mastalgia in patients with fibrocystic breast changes and the non-surgical treatment options. *J Taibab Univ Med Sci*. 2011;6(1): 1-18.
15. Uma K. Refractory mastalgia or inadequately treated mastalgia? *Indian J Surg*. 2004;66:89-92.
16. Hafiz SP, Barnes NL, Kirwan CC. Clinical management of idiopathic mastalgia: a systematic review. *J Prim Healthb Care*. 2018;10(4):312-323.
17. Alipour S, Abedi M, Saberi A, et al. Metformin as a new option in the medical management of breast fibroadenoma; a randomized clinical trial. *BMC Endocr Disord*. 2021;21(1):169.
18. Alipour S, Rastad H, Saberi A, Faiz F, Maleki-Hajiagha A, Abedi M. Metformin in the management of fibrocystic breast disease: a placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial. *Daru*. 2021;29(2):389-396.
19. Moghaddam MB, Aghdam FB, Jafarabadi MA, Allahverdipour H, Nikookheslat SD, Safarpour S. The Iranian version of International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) in Iran: content and construct validity, factor structure, internal consistency and stability. *World Appl Sci J*. 2012;18(8):1073-1080.
20. Veghari G, Salehi A, Vaghari M. The comparison of waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, and waist-to-height ratio among rural women adults in the North of Iran, between the years 2004 and 2013. *ARYA Atheroscler*. 2018;14(4):169-176.
21. World Health Organization. *Waist Circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio: Report of a WHO Expert Consultation*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44583/?sequence=1>. Published 2008. Updated December 8-11, 2008. Accessed November 5, 2021.
22. International Physical Activity Questionnaire. Guidelines for data processing and analysis of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). https://www.physio-pedia.com/images/c/c7/Quidelines_for_interpreting_the_IPAQ.pdf. Updated April, 2004. Accessed November 5, 2021.
23. Rungruang B, Kelley JL III. Benign breast diseases: epidemiology, evaluation, and management. *Clin Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;54(1):110-124.
24. Dawson C, Armstrong MW, Michaels J, Faber RG. Breast disease and the general surgeon. II. Effect of audit on the referral of patients with breast problems. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 1993;75(2):83-86.
25. Steffens RF, Wright HR, Hester MY, Andrykowski MA. Clinical, demographic, and situational factors linked to distress associated with benign breast biopsy. *J Psychosoc Oncol*. 2011;29(1):35-50.
26. Bidgoli SA, Eftekhari T. Role of exogenous and endogenous sources of estrogen on the incidence of breast fibroadenoma: case-control study in Iran. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2011;12(5):1289-1293.
27. Chen YY, Fang WH, Wang CC, et al. Examining the associations among fibrocystic breast change, total lean mass, and percent body fat. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8(1): 9180.
28. Pena GG, Maia YC, Mendes MC, Furtado WR, Machado-Coelho GL, Freitas RN. Physical activity is associated with malignant and benign breast diseases in low-income Brazilian women. *Nutr Cancer*. 2014; 66(4):707-715.
29. Jung MM, Colditz GA, Collins LC, Schnitt SJ, Connolly JL, Tamimi RM. Lifetime physical activity and the incidence of proliferative benign breast disease. *Cancer Cause Control*. 2011;22(9):1297-1305.
30. Nelson ZC, Ray RM, Wu C, et al. Fruit and vegetable intakes are associated with lower risk of breast fibroadenomas in Chinese women. *J Nutr*. 2010; 140(7):1294-1301.
31. Sochacka-Tatara E, Pac A, Florek M, Rolska P, Hodorowicz-Zaniewska D. Preferring fried dishes increases risk of benign breast disease, but not breast cancer. *Folia Med Cracov*. 2018;58(1):43-52.
32. Boeke CE, Tamimi RM, Berkey CS, et al. Adolescent carotenoid intake and benign breast disease. *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(5):e1292-e1298.
33. Aghababayan S, Sheikhi Mobarakeh Z, Qorbani M, Tiznobeyk Z, Aminianfar A, Sotoudeh G. Higher Dietary Inflammatory Index scores are associated with increased odds of benign breast diseases in a case-control study. *J Inflamm Res*. 2020;13:61-69.
34. Aghababayan S, Sheikhi Mobarakeh Z, Qorbani M, et al. Dietary phytochemical index and benign breast diseases: a case-control study. *Nutr Cancer*. 2020; 72(6):1067-1073.
35. Tiznobeyk Z, Sheikhi Mobarakeh Z, Qorbani M, et al. Dietary patterns and benign breast diseases: a case-control study. *Br J Nutr*. 2016;116(2):353-359.